Facility name: Greenacres Landfell (aha Likerty Lake Landfell)
Location: Spokane Co. Wa Sec 16 TZEN R 45E
EPA Region:
Person(s) in charge of the facility: Spo kane County
Name of Reviewer: H. Add Date: 6/24/83 General description of the facility:
(For example; landfill, surface impoundment, pile, container; types of hazardous substances; location of the facility; contamination route of major concern; types of information needed for rating; agency action, etc.)
Landfill formarly receiving up to 50000 un/yds/year
of domes the commercial and industrial waste.
from Spokane Well immediately downstope from
landfell (dozed 1972) shows low but microsing -
levels of trans-dichlors altry lene trichlorsothylene z.
perdelorosethalore. Sle source aquifer serves large
population and is major vorigation source.
28.90 50.00 / Scores S _M = 354 S _{SM} = 0 S ₂ = 0)
Spe = O
S _{DC} = 0

FIGURE 1 HRS COVER SHEET

				Ground Wate	Route Work S	heet				
		Rating Factor		Assign e (Ci <i>r</i> cie		Multi- plier	30378	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)	
	1	Observed Release		0	45	1	45	45	3.1	
-		If observed releas	_							
	2	Route Characterist Depth to Aquifer Concern		0 1 2	3	2		8	_ 3.2	
,		Net Precipitation Permeability of t Unsaturated Zo	ne.	0 1 2 0 1 2	3	1		3	-	
F		Physical State		. 0 1 2	3	1		3		
			7	otal Route Cha	racteristics Sco	re	-	15		
	3	Containment		0 1 2	3	1	-	3	_ 3.3	
		Waste Characteris Toxicity/Persiste Hazerdous Wast Cuandty	ence .	0 3 5 0 2	9 12 15 18 3 4 5 5 7		12	18	1.4	
			7	otal Waste Cha	racteristics Sco	ere.	13	25		
	5	Targets Ground Water U Distance to Neal Well/Population Served	rest	0 1 2 0 4 5 12 16 18 24 30 33		3 1	9 40	10 9	. 3.5	
				Total Tare	rets Score		49	49		
	0		multiply 2		x 5		2866 50.	57,330		-
	7	Divide line 3 5	y 57,330 ar	ו עב עופטוניות פו	00	Saw-	50.	00		

FIGURE 2
GROUND WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET

		Sur	tac	e W	ate	er R	oute	Work	Sheet	t			
	Rating Factor				-	d V	alue (e)			Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section
1	Observed Release		0				45			1		745	4.1
	If observed release is give												
2	Route Characteristics												4.2
	Facility Slope and Intervention	ning	0	1	2	3				1		3	
	1-yr. 24-hr. Rainfall		0	1	2	3				1		3	
	Distance to Nearest Surfi Water	ace	0	1	2	3				2		5	
	Physical State		0	1	2	3				1		3	
		Total i	Rou	te (Cha	rac	teris	tics S	core			15	
3	Containment		0	1	2	3		9		1		3	4.3
4	Waste Characteristics												4.4
	Toxicity/Persistence							5 18	_ :	1		18	
	Hazardous Waste Quantity		U	1	2	7	4	5 6	7 8.	1		8	-
											-		
		Total \	Was	ste	Chi	rrac	teris	tics S	core			26	
5	Targets												4.5
	Surface Water Use		0	,	1	2	3			3		9	
	Distance to a Sensitive Environment		0		1	2	3			2		6	
	Population Served/Distar to Water Intake Downstream	nce }	0 12 24		60	6 18 32	8 20 35	10 40		1 .		40	
			To	tai	Tar	get	s Sc	ore				55	
27	If line 1 is 45, multiply If line 1 is 0, multiply												
6	if time [1] is of mortiply	2 ×	3	X	4	×	5					64,350	

FIGURE 7
SURFACE WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET

	Air Rou	te Work Sheet			
Rating Factor		d Value (One)	Multi- plier Sca	ore Max. Score	Ref. (Section
1 Observed Release	o	45	1	~ 45	5.1
Date and Location:	•				
Sampling Protocol:	,				
If line 1 is 0, the $S_2 = 0$ if line 1 is 45, then proce	_				
Waste Characteristics Reactivity and	0 1 2	•	1	3	5.2
Incompatibility	0 1 2	•	•	•	
Toxicity Hazardous Waste	0 1 2 0 1 2	3 4 5 5 7 8	3	9 8	
Quantity					
÷ .	Total Waste Ch	aracteristics Score:	-	20	:
3 Targets					5.3.
Population Within 4-Mile Radius) 0 9 12 21 24 27		1	30 .	
Distance to Sensitive Environment	0 1 2		2	5	
Land Use	0 1 2	` 3	1	` 3	
			0)		
	Total Ta	rgets Score		39	
Multiply 1 x 2 x 3				35,100	
			İ		

FIGURE 9 AIR ROUTE WORK SHEET

	s	s²	
Groundwater Route Score (Sgw)	50.00	2500.00	
Surface Water Route Score (S _{SW})			
Air Route Score (Sa)			
$s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2$		2500.00	
$\sqrt{s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2}$		50.00	
$\sqrt{s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2} / 1.73 - s_M -$		28.90	

FIGURE 10 WORKSHEET FOR COMPUTING SM

	Rating Factor				rcle			•				Multi- ptier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section
1	Containment		1					3				1		-3	7.1
2	Waste Characteristics										9				7.2
	Direct Evidence		0			3						1		3	
	Ignitability		0	1	2	. 3						1		3	
	Reactivity		0	1	2	3						1		3	
	Incompatibility		0	1	2	3						1		3	
	Hazardous Waste Quantity		0	1	2	3	4	5	5	7	8	1		8	
1-															
		Total	Was	ta	Cha	ırac	teri	stic	3 S	core	•			20	
3	Targets												·		· 7.3
	Distance to Nearest Population				2		4	5			•	1		5	
	Distance to Nearest Building		0	1	2	3					;	1		3	_
	Distance to Sensitive Environment		0	1	2	3					÷	1		3	•
	Land Use			1		3						1		3	
	Population Within 2-Mile Radius			1			4					1		5	
	Buildings Within 2-Mile Radius		٥	1		3	4	5				1		5	
	1 12														
												٠			
			To	tal	Tar	get	3 S	cor	•					24	L)
4	Multiply 1 x 2 x 3													1,440	

FIGURE 11
FIRE AND EXPLOSION WORK SHEET

	Rating Factor			ner			•		Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section
1	Observed Incident	0				4	5		1		45	8.1
	If line 1 is 45, proceed to lift line 1 is 0, proceed to lift											
2	Accessibility	0	1	2	3				1		3	8.2
3	Containment	0		15					1		15	8.3
4	Waste Characteristics Toxicity	0	1	2	3				5		15	8.4
3]	Targets Population Within a 1-Mile Radius	0	1	2	3	4	5		4		20	8.5
	Distance to a Critical Habitat	0	1	2	3				4		12	•
								?				:
									3.00			
		To	tai	Tar	get	3 5	core				32	
6	If line 1 is 45, multiply 1			x [[5	1				21,600	

FIGURE 12 DIRECT CONTACT WORK SHEET

EPA REGION X

DOCUMENTATION RECORDS
FOR
HAZARD RANKING SYSTEM

INSTRUCTIONS: The purpose of these records is to provide a convenient way to prepare an auditable record of the data and documentation used to apply the Hazard Ranking System to a given facility. As briefly as possible summarize the information you used to assign the score for each factor (e.g., "Waste quantity = 4,230 drums plus 800 cubic yards of sludges"). The source of information should be provided for each entry and should be a bibliographic-type reference that will make the document used for a given data point easier to find. Include the location of the document and consider appending a copy of the relevant page(s) for ease in review.

FACILITY NAME:	General .	Landell.	
		,	
LOCATION:	Spokane 6,	Washington	

GROUND WATER ROUTE

	1 OBSERVED RELEASE (b) (6)
	Contaminants detected (5 maximum): West (Seastfachment)
	trans - dichloroethylene profile
	trichloro ethylene spokene Co Health Distore
	Rationale for attributing the contaminants to the facility?
	Found in well immediately downhill a downgradient
	from landfill
(5	SPOKANE CO. HEALTH DISTRICT INTER-"OFFICE COMMUNICATION 6/4/83- SAMPLES COLLECTED 9/15/80 TO 1/26/83 - 12 SAMPLES)
	2 ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS
	Depth to Aquifer of Concern NOT APPUCABLE DUE OBSERVED DELEAS
	Name/description of aquifers(s) of concern:
	Spokene Valley equifar
	Depth(s) from the ground surface to the highest seasonal level of the saturated zone [water table(s)] of the aquifer of concern:
	84-126 - municipal well logs on file (from DOE files)
1.	
	Depth from the ground surface to the lowest point of waste disposal/
	Un cartain
-	uncertain.

Net Precipitation

to observe RELEASE

Mean annual or seasonal precipitation (list months for seasonal):

Annael - 24"

(Climatic Attac of United States)

Nov-And 10.5"

Mean annual lake or seasonal evaporation (list months for seasonal):

Annual 57" (CA of U.S.) NOV-April 6.66" (18%).

Net precipitation (subtract the above figures):

Annual - 13"

Seasonal = 3.84"

Permeability of Unsaturated Zone

Soil type in unsaturated zone:

Sand and gravel

Permeability associated with soil type:

High > 10-3 cm/sec

Physical State

Physical state of substances at time of disposal (or at present time for generated gases):

Liquid

CUNTAINMENT

Containment

- NOT APPLICABLE DUE TO

Method(s) of waste or leachate containment evaluated: OBSERVED RECEASE

N/A

Method with highest score:

NIA

4 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

Toxicity and Persistence

Compound(s) evaluated:

Tetra chloro athylens trichloro ethylene Trans - dechloro etty lene

Compound with highest score:
All anotenate towarty except via i.v. toute

Att personal (sels instructions).

ALL ABOVE = 12

Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous substances at the facility, excluding those with a containment score of 0 (Give a reasonable estimate even if

Un known.

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

Ground Water Use

Use(s) of aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius of the facility:

Drinburg, domestie & municipal

(WATER RIGHTS FILES, SPOKANE CO. HEALTH DISTRICT, SPOKANE CO. - CONDENS Distance to Nearest Well COMPUTER REPORT # . 315 - 3 21)

Location of nearest well drawing from aquifer of concern or occupied building not served by a public water supply:

(b) (6)

well contaminated

Distance to above well or building:

WELL LOCATED WITHIN EXTENDED SITE BOUNDELES

Population Served by Ground Water Wells Within a 3-Mile Radius

Identified water-supply well(s) drawing from aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius and populations served by each:

(a) Considered Impetion Destrict (4000 people) 1000 2000 1520 - 1000 (Includer US Bureau of Redometron) (509-924-3655)

Computation of land area irrigated by supply well(s) drawing from aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius, and conversion to population (1.5 people per acre);

A Not less the 1000 acres - Consolidated brigation District

B at least 2000 acres in addition: TZ5N R45E Sections 3,10,11,14,

4500 people = 3000 ACRES x 1.5 PEOPLE

(Water right FILES SEVERICE - ACRES X 1.5 PEOPLE

(Water right FILES, SPOKANE CO. HEALTH DISTRICT, SPOKANE WA. } Total population served by ground water within a 3-mile radius: CONDENSED COM-

REPORT, Ap. 315-3

TOTAL FROM ABOVE: 1520 4000

7 4500

10,020 PEOPLE

SURFACE WATER ROUTE

1 OBSERVED RELEASE

Contaminants detected in surface water at the facility or downhill from it (5 maximum):

NONE

Rationale for attributing the contaminants to the facility:

* * *

2 ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

Facility Slope and Intervening Terrain

Average slope of facility in percent:

N/A

Name/description of nearest downslope surface water:

Average slope of terrain between facility and above-cited surface water body in percent:

Is the facility located either totally or partially in surface water?

1 m 0/ m n-i-6-7-3	1 in Tasks	
1-Year 24-Hour Rainfal	II in inches	
		-
N/	and and surface Wares	
Distance to Nearest Do	MAISTODE SALISCE Mater	
Physical State of West	ie .	

	331	
3 CONTAINMENT		
3 CONTAINMENT		
Containment		
Containment	leachate containment evalu-	ated:
Containment	leachate containment evalua	ated:
Containment	leachate containment evalua	ated:
Containment	leachate containment evalua	ated:
Containment	leachate containment evaluation	ated:

4 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS Toxicity and Persistence

Compound(s) evaluated

Compound with highest score:

Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of harardous substances at the facility, excluding those with a containment score of 0 (Give a reasonable estimate even if quantity is above maximum):

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

* * *

5 TARGETS

Surface Water Use

Use(s) of surface water within 3 miles downstream of the hazardous substance:

Is there tidal influence?

Distance to a Sensitive Environment

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) coastal wetland, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to 5-acra (minimum) fresh-water wetland, if I mile or less:

Distance to critical habitat of an endangered species or national wildlife refuge, if I mile or less:

Population Served by Surface Water

Location(s) of water-supply intake(s) within 3 miles (free-flowing bodies) or 1 mile (static water bodies) downstream of the hazardous substance and population served by each intake:

Computation	of	land ar	ea ir	rigat	ed by	abo	ve-cited	intake(s)	and
conversion	to	population	on (1	.5 pec	ple	per	acre):		

Total population served:

Name/description of nearest of above water bodies:

Distance to above-cited intakes, measured in stream miles.

1	OBSERVED	RELEASE
Co	ntaminants	detected

NONE

Date and location of detection of contaminants

Methods used to detect the contaminants:

Rationale for attributing the contaminants to the site:

* * *

2 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

Reactivity and Incompatibility

Most reactive compound:

Most incompatible pair of compounds:

Hazardous Was	te Quantity			_	
Total quantit	y of hazardous wast	a:			
Basis of esti	mating and/or compu	ting waste q	uantity:		
		***	•		,
3 TARGETS					
Population Wi	thin 4-Mile Radius				
Circle radius	used, give popular	ion, and ind	icate how de	etermined:	
0 to 4 mi	0 to 1 mi	0 to 1/2	mi 0	to 1/4 mi	
Distance to a	Sensitive Environm	ent			
Distance to 5	-acre (minimum) coa	stal wetland	, if 2 miles	or less:	

Toxicity

Most toxic compound:

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) fresh-water wetland, if I mile or less:

